## Topic: HOW DOES THE CENTRAL APPALACHINA RED SPRUCE FOREST INFLUENCE SOIL ORGANIC CARBON?

**Issue:** Historic timber harvesting of red spruce and related soil erosion, burning, and forest conversion to hardwood in the central and southern Appalachians has resulted in large losses of soil organic carbon into the atmosphere as CO<sub>2</sub> – a greenhouse gas. Recent studies suggest that restoring red spruce with targeted forest management plans could restore significant amounts of this carbon within a century while also improving regional habitat for threatened wildlife and ecosystem services like drinking water security.

- **Red spruce and similar trees promote accumulation of soil carbon.** Research tells us that the cool conifer forest species of the north like red spruce are the best at accumulating soil carbon.
- There is more carbon in the soils than in the atmosphere and the vegetation combined. Most of the soil organic carbon in the world is found in the cool moist conifer forests and permafrost tundra areas of the North.
- Large releases of CO<sub>2</sub> likely resulted from harvest activities that caused fires and forest type conversion from spruce to hardwood associated during local railroad expansion around 1900. Studies in the southern and central Appalachians have documented red spruce range decreases of 90% or more due to timber harvest and associated fires from 1860-1940. This disturbance and species composition shift likely resulted in a massive release of carbon from deep organic forest floors (up to 3 feet deep) and subsurface soil layers into the atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> pool that is not fully understood in the context of its contribution to climate change.
- Planting red spruce and encouraging red spruce to return to its historic locations can help return significant amounts of the lost carbon back to the landscape in less than 100 years. Recent data suggests that at least 6.6 Tg of carbon (equivalent to 56.4 million barrels of oil) would be incorporated in the forest floor within ~80 years by managing to restore historic spruce dominated stands that were disturbed by historic timber harvest in West Virginia alone - a small portion of the historic red spruce range.
- Old growth red spruce could sequester even more carbon. Studies in similar cool moist systems in the northwest U.S. show that high quality old growth conifer forests store lots of carbon. Managing red spruce towards an old growth forest in the next 100 years would promote transfer of significant atmospheric carbon into the soil of the central Appalachians. Over time, organic carbon produced in red spruce forests would be stored deeper and deeper under the soil surface, and create longer term carbon storage.
- **Restoring red spruce forests will improve ecosystem services.** Deep forest floors under red spruce represent a significant water storage tool that can buffer watersheds against both flooding and drier periods. The endangered Cheat Mountain Salamander and rare Virginia Northern Flying Squirrel have also been linked to red spruce influenced habitat, and would likely benefit from restoration.
- Red spruce has an uncertain future, but could prove to be more resilient than current models suggest. Recent studies show that red spruce stands are expanding and had a larger pre-harvest extent than prior research acknowledged. Therefore, climate change projections that red spruce will disappear from the southern and central Appalachians within this century should be regarded with cautious skepticism when planning red spruce restoration goals, especially in light of its potential to help mitigate climate change.

Contact: Stephanie Connolly, Forest Soil Scientist, Monongahela NF, (304)636-1800 x244 sconnolly@fs.fed.us Travis Nauman, Ph.D. Student, West Virginia Univ., Plant and Soil Sciences, tnauman@mix.wvu.edu

**Citation**: Nauman, T.W., and S.J. Connolly. 2014. Red spruce (Picea rubens) influence on soil organic carbon (SOC) stocks [Online]. Available at <u>http://www.restoreredspruce.org/images/pdf/white\_paper\_spruce\_soil\_carbon\_fs\_wvu\_general\_2014.pdf</u>

## References

1 Averill, C., Turner, B. L. & Finzi, A. C. Mycorrhiza-mediated competition between plants and decomposers drives soil carbon storage. *Nature* **505**, 543-545, doi:10.1038/nature12901 (2014).

2 Miles, J. The pedogenic effects of different species and vegetation types and the implications of succession. *Journal of Soil Science* **36**, 571-584, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2389.1985.tb00359.x (1985).

3 Herbauts, J. & Buyl, E. The relation between spruce monoculture and incipient podzolisation in ochreous brown earths of the Belgian Ardennes. *Plant and Soil* **59**, 33-49, doi:10.1007/bf02183590 (1981).

4 Sohet, K., Herbauts, J. & Gruber, W. CHANGES CAUSED BY NORWAY SPRUCE IN AN OCHREOUS BROWN EARTH, ASSESSED BY THE ISOQUARTZ METHOD. *Journal of Soil Science* **39**, 549-561 (1988).

5 Högberg, P. & Read, D. J. Towards a more plant physiological perspective on soil ecology. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **21**, 548-554, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2006.06.004 (2006).

6 Tarnocai, C. *et al.* Soil organic carbon pools in the northern circumpolar permafrost region. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycle* **23**, GB2023, doi:10.1029/2008gb003327 (2009).

7 Lal, R. Forest soils and carbon sequestration. *Forest Ecology and Management* **220**, 242-258, doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2005.08.015 (2005). 8 Hopkins, A. D. Vol. Bulletin 56. (ed West Virginia Agricultural Experiment Station) (Fairmont Index Steam Print, Morgantown, WV, 1899).

9 Pielke, R. A. The Distribution of Spruce in West-Central Virginia before Lumbering. *Castanea* 46, 201-216 (1981).

10 Nowacki, G. & Wendt, D. in *Proceedings from the conference on the ecology and management of high-elevation forests in the central and southern Appalachian Mountains.* (eds James S. Rentch & Thomas M. Schuler) 163-178 (USDA-FS Northern Reseach Station). 11 Pauley, T. K. The Appalachian Inferno: Historical Causes for the Disjunct Distribution of Plethodon nettingi (Cheat Mountain Salamander). *Northeastern Naturalist* **15**, 595-606, doi:10.1656/1092-6194-15.4.595 (2008).

12 Thomas-Van Gundy, M., Strager, M. & Rentch, J. Site characteristics of red spruce witness tree locations in the uplands of West Virginia, USA. *The Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society* **139**, 391-405, doi:10.3159/torrey-d-11-00083.1 (2012).

13 Barrett, L. R. & Schaetzl, R. J. Regressive Pedogenesis Following a Century of Deforestation: Evidence for Depodzolization. *Soil Science* **163(6)**, 482-497 (1998).

14 Lundström, U. S. *et al.* Advances in understanding the podzolization process resulting from a multidisciplinary study of three coniferous forest soils in the Nordic Countries. *Geoderma* **94**, 335-353, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7061(99)00077-4 (2000). 15 Krankina, O. N., Harmon, M. E., Schnekenburger, F. & Sierra, C. A. Carbon balance on federal forest lands of Western Oregon and Washington: The impact of the Northwest Forest Plan. *Forest Ecology and Management* **286**, 171-182, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2012.08.028 (2012).

16 Schulze, E.-D., Körner, C., Law, B. E., Haberl, H. & Luyssaert, S. Large-scale bioenergy from additional harvest of forest biomass is neither sustainable nor greenhouse gas neutral. *GCB Bioenergy* **4**, 611-616, doi:10.1111/j.1757-1707.2012.01169.x (2012). 17 Johnson, A. H. Red spruce decline in the northeastern U.S.: Hypotheses regarding the role of acid rain. *J. AIR POLLUT. CONTROL ASSOC.* **33**, 1049-1054 (1983).

18 Adams, M. B. & Eagar, C. IMPACTS OF ACIDIC DEPOSITION ON HIGH-ELEVATION SPRUCE-FIR FORESTS - RESULTS FROM THE SPRUCE-FIR RESEARCH COOPERATIVE. *Forest Ecology and Management* **51**, 195-205, doi:10.1016/0378-1127(92)90485-r (1992). 19 Hornbeck, J. W. & Smith, R. B. Documentation of red spruce growth decline. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* **15**, 1199-1201, doi:10.1139/x85-199 (1985).

20 Hamburg, S. P. & Cogbill, C. V. Historical decline of red spruce populations and climatic warming. *Nature* **331**, 428-431 (1988). 21 Nowacki, G. J., Carr, R. & Van Dyck, M. in *Proceedings from the conference on the ecology and management of high-elevation forests in the central and southern Appalachian Mountains.* (eds J. S. Rentch & Thomas M. Schuler) 242 (USDA - Forest Service, Northern Research Station).

22 Rollins, A. W., Adams, H. S. & Stephenson, S. L. Changes in Forest Composition and Structure across the Red Spruce-Hardwood Ecotone in the Central Appalachians. *Castanea* **75**, 303-314, doi:10.2179/09-052.1 (2010).

23 Byers, E. A., Vanderhorst, J. P. & Streets, B. P. (ed West Virginia Division of Natural Resources West Virginia Natural Heritage Program) (Wildlife Resources Section, 2010).

24 Butler, P. R. *et al.* Central Appalachians ecosystem vulnerability assessment and synthesis: a report from the Central Appalachians Climate Change Response Framework project., (Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northern Research Station., Newtown Square, PA, 2014 (In Review)).